

Volume 45 • Number 4 • June 2014

EDITORIAL

For a Holistic and Systemic Approach to IP Law

G. Ghidini 381

ARTICLES

The Unitary Patent Protection – A Voice in the Discussion from the Polish Perspective

Z. Zawadzka 383

Patent Rights and the “Specific Mechanism” to Prevent Parallel Imports

C. Heath 399

A Comparative Analysis of the Three-Step Tests in International Treaties

A.F. Christie · R. Wright 409

Trade Marks Function, Don’t They? CJEU Jurisprudence and Unfair Competition Principles

A. Kur 434

DECISIONS • PATENT LAW

European Patent Office

“Culturing Stem Cells/TECHNIKON” · European Patent Convention, Art. 53(a), Rule 28(c) – *In re Technikon Research and Development Foundation*

Decision of Technical Board 3.3.8 ·

4 February 2014 – Case No. T 2221/10 455

Germany

“Bicycle Crank Arm Apparatus (Tretkurbeleinheit)” · Patent Act, Sec. 117; Code of Civil Procedure, Secs. 529(1) No. 2, 531(2) first sentence, Nos. 1–3

Decision of the Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) ·

27 August 2013 – Case No. X ZR 19/12 457

European Union

"Innoweb" • Directive No. 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the Legal Protection of Databases, Art. 7(1) and (5) – Innoweb BV v. Wegener ICT Media BV and Wegener Mediaventions BV

Decision of the European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber) ·
19 December 2013 – Case No. C-202/12 **465**

DECISIONS • COPYRIGHT LAW

European Union

"Svensson" • Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the Harmonisation of Certain Aspects of Copyright and Related Rights in the Information Society, Art. 3(1) – Nils Svensson, Sten Sjögren, Madelaine Sahlman and Pia Gadd v. Retriever Sverige AB

Decision of the European Court of Justice (Fourth Chamber) ·
13 February 2014 – Case No. C-466/12 **466**

Germany

"Pippi Longstocking Costume (Pippi-Langstrumpf-Kostüm)" • Copyright Act, Secs. 2(1)(1), 23, 24(1)

Decision of the Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) ·
17 July 2013 – Case No. I ZR 52/12 **467**

Greece

"Olympic Champions" • Copyright Act (Law No. 2121/1993), Art. 2(1)

Decision of the Athens District Court (Polimeles Protodikio Athinon) ·
5 February 2013 – Case No. 525/2013 **468**

DECISIONS • TRADE MARK LAW

Austria

"Red Bull v. Pit Bull" • Jurisdiction Regulation, Art. 6(1); Regulation (EC) No. 207/2009 on the Community Trade Mark, Arts. 9(1)(c), 94(1); Trade Mark Act, Sec. 10(2); Act Against Unfair Competition, Sec. 1

Decision of the Supreme Court (Oberster Gerichtshof) ·
15 January 2013 – Case No. 4 Ob 221/12x **472**

Japan

"Hot Lemon" • Trade Mark Act, Sec. 3(1)(iii) and (2)

Decision of the Intellectual Property High Court ·
28 August 2013 – Case No. 2012 (Gyo ke) 10352 **479**

DECISION • COMPETITION LAW

Germany

"Hard Rock Cafe" • Act Against Unfair Competition, No. 13 Annex to Sec. 3(3), Sec. 5(1) sentence 2 No. 1, (2); Civil Code Sec. 242 Cc

Decision of the Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) ·
15 August 2013 – Case No. I ZR 188/11 **484**

European Union

"UEFA and FIFA v. Commission" • Council Directive 89/552/EEC of 3 October 1989 on the Coordination of Certain Provisions Laid Down by Law, Regulation or Administrative Action in Member States Concerning the Pursuit of Television Broadcasting Activities, Art. 3a – *Union des associations européennes de football (UEFA) v. European Commission and Kingdom of Belgium, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland*

Decision of the European Court of Justice (Third Chamber) •

18 July 2013 – Case No. C-201/11 P 491

BOOK REVIEW

Josef Drexler and Nari Lee (eds.): Pharmaceutical Innovation, Competition and Patent Law. A Trilateral Perspective • Edward Elgar, Cheltenham/Northampton, 2013. pp. 337. ISBN: 978-0-85793-245-7. £81.00

M. Norrgård 493

ERRATUM

Erratum to: The United States Supreme Court's Decision in *Kirtsaeng v. Wiley & Sons*: An "Inevitable" Step in Which Direction?

I. Calboli 496