

Volume 45 • Number 2 • March 2014

EDITORIAL

Patent Law at the Centre of Today's IP Debate

R. Sikorski 125

ARTICLES

The Global Reach of *FTC v. Actavis* – Will Europe Differ from the US Approach to Pay-for-Delay Agreements?

G. Gürkaynak · A. Güner · J. Filson 128

The "Three-Step Test" and the Burden of Proof in Disputes Under the TRIPS Agreement

M. Kennedy 161

Conceptualising the European Union's Competence in Copyright – What Can the EU Do?

A. Ramalho 178

REPORTS

United Kingdom Patent Decisions 2013

J. Powles 201

United Kingdom Copyright Decisions 2013

Y.H. Lee 206

New Russian Legislation on ISP Liability and Copyright Enforcement

N. Malevanny 212

United Kingdom Trade Mark and Design Decisions 2013

J. Stobbs · G. Weller 221

DECISIONS • PATENT LAW

European Union

"Novartis Pharma" · Regulation (EC) No. 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 Laying Down Community Procedures for the Authorisation and Supervision of Medicinal Products for Human and Veterinary Use and Establishing a European Medicines Agency – *Novartis Pharma GmbH v. Apozyt GmbH*

Decision of the European Court of Justice (Fourth Chamber) ·

11 April 2013 – Case No. C-535/11 227

Germany

"Lift Multi-Group Control System" · European Patent Convention, Art. 56

Decision of the Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) ·

14 May 2013 – Case No. X ZR 107/10 228

DECISIONS • COPYRIGHT LAW

Canada

"Broadcasting Regulatory Policy" · Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1985, Chap. C-42, Secs. 2, 21, 31, 89; Broadcasting Act, S.C. 1991, Chap. 11, Secs. 2, 3, 5, 9, 10 – *Cogeco Cable Inc., Rogers Communications Inc., TELUS Communications Company and Shaw Communications Inc. v. Bell Media Inc. (formerly CTV Globemedia Inc.), V Interactions Inc., Newfoundland Broadcasting Co. Ltd. and Canwest Television Limited Partnership and Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission*

Decision of the Supreme Court · 13 December 2012 – Case No. 34231 229

France

"Implicit Copyright Transfer" · Intellectual Property Code, Arts. L. 122-4, L. 131-3; Civil Code, Arts. 1134, 1135 – *Corbis Sygma Co. v. Unknown Party*

Decision of the Supreme Court (Cour de cassation) · Civil Law Section 1st Chamber ·

30 May 2012 – Case No. 10-17.780 231

Israel

"Telran v. Charlton" · Copyright Act of 1911 – *Telran Communication (1986) Ltd. vs. Charlton Ltd.*

Decision of the Supreme Court · 2 September 2013 – Civil Appeal No. 5097/11 233

United States of America

"Google Books" · 17 U.S.C., § 107 Limitations on Exclusive Rights: Fair Use – *The Authors Guild, Inc., Betty Miles, Joseph Goulden and Jim Bouton v. Google Inc.*

Decision of the U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York ·

14 November 2013 – Case No. 1:05-civ-8136-DC 237

DECISION • TRADE MARK LAW

Germany

"Bolero" · Council Regulation (EC) No. 6/2002 of 12 December 2001 on Community Designs, Arts. 11, 14(1) and (3), 85(2) first sentence

Decision of the Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) ·

13 December 2012 – Case No. I ZR 23/12 239

DECISION • COMPETITION LAW

European Union

"Köck" · Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2005 Concerning Unfair Business-to-Consumer Commercial Practices in the Internal Market – *Georg Köck v. Schutzverband gegen unlauteren Wettbewerb*

Decision of the European Court of Justice (First Chamber) ·

17 January 2013 – Case No. C-206/11 244

CASE NOTE

Israel

Comment on "Telran v. Charlton" · Copyright Act of 1911 – Telran Communication (1986)
Ltd. vs. Charlton Ltd.

Z. Efroni 245

BOOK REVIEW

Hugo Meinders, Ingo Beckedorf and Gérard Weiss: Overview of the Appeal Proceedings According to the EPC – Überblick über das Beschwerdeverfahren nach dem EPÜ – Aperçu sur la procédure de recours selon la CBE. H. Tel Publisher, Haarlem, The Netherlands, (first edition) 2013, Trilingual. pp. 275. ISBN 978-90-783-0-0909. €55.00
C.A.M. Mulder 249